arXiv:1510.04145v2 [math.NT] 8 Mar 2016

The exceptional set in a generalized Goldbach's problem

Dongho Byeon* and Keunyoung Jeong

Abstract

In this paper, we compute the size of the exceptional set in a generalized Goldbach problem and show that for a given polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with a positive leading coefficient, positive integers A, B, g, i, j with $0 < i, j < g$, there are infinitely many positive integers n which satisfy $2f(n) = Ap_1 + Bp_2$ for primes $p_1 \equiv i, p_2 \equiv j \pmod{g}$ under a mild condition.

1 Introduction

Brüdern, Kawada and Wooley [BKW] computed the size of the exceptional set of a polynomial-type generalization of Goldbach problem.

Theorem 1. [BKW, Theorem 1] *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial which has a positive leading coefficient with degree k and $\mathscr{E}_k(N, f)$ be the number of positive integers n with $1 \le n \le N$ for which the equation $2f(n) = p_1 + p_2$ has no solution in primes p_1, p_2. Then there is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathscr{E}_k(N, f) \ll_f N^{1-\frac{c}{k}}.$$

This theorem implies that there are infinitely many positive integers n which satisfy $2f(n) = p_1 + p_2$ for primes p_1, p_2. Similarly, one can ask if for given positive integers

*The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2013R1A1A2007694).

A, B, g, i, j with $0 < i, j < g$, there are infinitely many positive integers n which satisfy $2f(n) = Ap_1 + Bp_2$ for primes $p_1 \equiv i, p_2 \equiv j \pmod{g}$.

To answer this question, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial which has a positive leading coefficient with degree k. Let A, B be positive odd integers and g, i, j positive integers with $0 < i, j < g < N^{24\delta k}$ for a sufficiently small positive real number δ to be chosen later and $(i, g) = (j, g) = 1$. Suppose that there is at least one integer m such that*

$$2f(m) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}.$$

Let $\Gamma = \{A, B, g, i, j\}$ and let $\mathscr{E}_{k,\Gamma}(N, f)$ be the number of positive integers $n \in [1, N]$ with $2f(n) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}$ for which the equation $2f(n) = Ap_1 + Bp_2$ has no solution in primes $p_1 \equiv i, p_2 \equiv j \pmod{g}$. Then there is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathscr{E}_{k,\Gamma}(N, f) \ll_{k,\Gamma} N^{1-\frac{c}{k}}.$$

This immediately implies the positive answer of the above question.

Corollary 3. *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}(x)$ be a polynomial which has a positive leading coefficient. Let A, B be positive odd integers and g, i, j be positive integers with $0 < i, j < g$ and $(i, g) = (j, g) = 1$. If there is at least one integer m such that*

$$2f(m) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g},$$

then there are infinitely many positive integers n which satisfy

$$2f(n) = Ap_1 + Bp_2$$

for primes $p_1 \equiv i, p_2 \equiv j \pmod{g}$.

Let N be a large positive integer, δ a sufficiently small positive real number to be chosen later, $X := 2f(N)$, $P := X^{6\delta}$, $Q := X/P$ and $\kappa := 2^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Let A, B be positive odd integers and g, i, j positive integers with $0 < i, j < g < P^4$ and $(i, g) = (j, g) = 1$. Let $\Gamma = \{A, B, g, i, j\}$. We define the exponential sum $S_i(\alpha)$ by

$$S_i(\alpha) := \sum_{\substack{P < p \le X \\ p \equiv i \pmod{g}}} (\log p) e(\alpha p),$$

where $e(\alpha p) := e^{2\pi\alpha p i}$ and the summation is over primes p with $P < p \le X$ and $p \equiv i \pmod g$. When $T \subseteq [0,1]$, we write

$$r_\Gamma(n;T) := \int_T S_i(A\alpha) S_j(B\alpha) e(-\alpha n) d\alpha$$

and $r_\Gamma(n) := r_\Gamma(n;[0,1])$. Then $r_\Gamma(2f(n))$ counts the number of solutions of the equation $2f(n) = Ap_1 + Bp_2$ in primes $p_1 \equiv i, p_2 \equiv j \pmod g$ with weight $\log p_1 \log p_2$.

Let $\mathfrak{M} \subset [0,1]$ be the major arc defined by

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le a \le q \le P \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(q,a),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \left\{ \alpha \in [0,1] : \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \le \frac{P}{qX} \right\},$$

and $\mathfrak{m} \subset [0,1]$ be the minor arc defined by

$$\mathfrak{m} = [0,1] \setminus \mathfrak{M}.$$

In Section 2 we compute $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{m})$, in Section 3 we compute $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M})$, and in Section 4 combining these, we prove Theorem 2. Basically we follow [BKW] and [MV].

Finally we mention that some special forms of Corollary 3 are applied to the arithmetic of elliptic curves. See [BJ] and [BJK]. One of the aims of this paper is to give a full proof of a full generalization of the special forms for future applications.

2 Minor Arc

In [BKW, Lemma 1], the authors proved that there exists a positive real number $a = a(\delta)$ depending on δ such that

$$\sum_{\kappa N < n \le N} |r(2f(n);\mathfrak{m})| \ll XN^{1-\frac{a}{k}},$$

where $r(2f(n);\mathfrak{m}) = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S(\alpha)^2 e(-\alpha \cdot 2f(n)) d\alpha$ and $S(\alpha) = \sum_{P<p\le X} (\log p) e(\alpha p)$.

In this section, we show that the same result holds for $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{m})$. To do this, we need the following lemma which concerns the residue class condition; $i, j \pmod g$ and the coefficient condition; A, B. For the proof of the lemma, we follow the proof

of [IK, Theorem 13.6]. A new ingredient in our proof is the orthogonality relations of Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that there exist integers a and q such that $(a,q)=1$ and $\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{q}\right| \le \frac{1}{q^2}$. Then for $x \ge 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{p\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} (\log p)e(\alpha A p) \ll (x^{\frac{4}{5}} + xq^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log x)^3,$$

where the summation is over primes p with $p \le x$ and $p \equiv i \pmod g$.

Proof. Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo g. The orthogonality relations of Dirichlet characters imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{p\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} (\log p)e(\alpha A p) &= \sum_{p\le x} \frac{1}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(i)\chi(p)(\log p)e(\alpha A p) \\ &\ll \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{p\le x} \chi(p)(\log p)e(\alpha A p) \right|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{p\le x} \chi(p)(\log p)e(\alpha A p) \ll (x^{\frac{4}{5}} + xq^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log x)^3.$$

Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function which defined as follows:

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the fact $\sum_{p\le x} \log p \ll x$,

$$\left| \sum_{p^k\le x} \chi(p^k)(\log p)e(\alpha A p^k) \right| \le \sum_{p^k\le x} \log p = \sum_{p\le x^{\frac{1}{k}}} \log p \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{p\le x} \chi(p)(\log p)e(\alpha A p) = \sum_{n\le x} \chi(n)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha A n) + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n\le x} \chi(n)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha A n) = \sum_{\substack{n\le Ax \\ A|n}} \chi(\frac{n}{A})\Lambda(\frac{n}{A})e(\alpha n) \ll (x^{\frac{4}{5}} + xq^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log x)^3.$$

From the Vaughan's identity, we have that for $y,z \ge 1$ and n such that $A|n$ and $\frac{n}{A} > z$,

$$\Lambda(\frac{n}{A}) = \sum_{\substack{b|\frac{n}{A} \\ b\le y}} \mu(b)\log\frac{n}{Ab} - \sum_{\substack{bc|\frac{n}{A} \\ b\le y, c\le z}}\sum \mu(b)\Lambda(c) + \sum_{\substack{bc|\frac{n}{A} \\ b> y, c> z}}\sum \mu(b)\Lambda(c).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{n\le Ax\\ A|n}}\chi(\frac{n}{A})\Lambda(\frac{n}{A})e(\alpha n) &= \sum_{\substack{lm\le Ax, A|l\\ m\le M}}\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}m)\mu(m)(\log\frac{l}{A})e(\alpha lm)\\ &- \sum_{\substack{lmn\le Ax, A|l\\ m\le M, n\le N}}\sum\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}mn)\mu(m)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha lmn)\\ &+ \sum_{\substack{lmn\le Ax, A|l\\ m\ge M, n\ge N}}\sum\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}mn)\mu(m)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha lmn)+O(N).\end{aligned}$$

We need

$$\sum_{m\le M}\left|\sum_{\substack{mn\le x\\ A|n}}\chi(\frac{n}{A})e(\alpha mn)\right|\ll(M+xq^{-1}+q)\log 2qx. \tag{1}$$

It is derived as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m\le M}\left|\sum_{\substack{mn\le x\\ A|n}}\chi(\frac{n}{A})e(\alpha mn)\right| = \sum_{m\le M}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}\chi(i)\sum_{\substack{mAn'\le x\\ n'\equiv i \pmod g}}e(\alpha mn')\right|\\ &\le g\sum_{m\le M}\min(\frac{x}{mA},\frac{1}{2\|mA\alpha\|}) \le g\sum_{m\le AM}\min(\frac{x}{m},\frac{1}{2\|m\alpha\|})\\ &\ll(AM+xq^{-1}+q)\cdot\log 2qAx\ll(M+xq^{-1}+q)\cdot\log 2qx,\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\alpha\|=\min_{u\in\mathbb{Z}}|\alpha-u|$. It is known [IK, Theorem 13.6] that

$$\sum_{n\le x}\Lambda(n)e(\alpha n)\ll(x^{\frac{4}{5}}+xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log x)^3.$$

If we use (1) instead of (13.46) in the proof of [IK, Theorem 13.6] and take $M=N=x^{\frac{2}{5}}$, then by the same argument in the proof of [IK, Theorem 13.6], we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{lm\le Ax, A|l\\ m\le M}}\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}m)\mu(m)(\log\frac{l}{A})e(\alpha lm)\ll(x^{\frac{2}{5}}+xq^{-1}+q)\log qx\cdot\log x,\\ &\sum_{\substack{lmn\le Ax, A|l\\ m\le M, n\le N}}\sum\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}mn)\mu(m)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha lmn)\ll(x^{\frac{4}{5}}+xq^{-1}+q)\log qx\cdot\log x,\\ &\sum_{\substack{lmn\le Ax, A|l\\ m\ge M, n\ge N}}\sum\sum\chi(\frac{l}{A}mn)\mu(m)\Lambda(n)e(\alpha lmn)\ll(x^{\frac{4}{5}}+xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log x)^3,\end{aligned}$$

which prove the lemma. □

Now we can prove the following analogue of [BKW, Lemma 1]. For the proof, we follow the proof of [BKW, Lemma 1]. A new ingredient in our proof is the bound of $S_i(A\alpha)$ in Lemma 4.

Proposition 5. *There is a positive real number $a = a(\delta)$ such that*

$$\sum_{\kappa N < n \le N} |r_\Gamma(2f(n); \mathfrak{m})| \ll XN^{1-\frac{a}{k}}.$$

Proof. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\kappa N < n \le N} |r_\Gamma(2f(n); \mathfrak{m})| \\ \le & \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}} |S_i(A\alpha)S_j(B\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{t}} \Big(\int_0^1 |S_i(A\alpha)S_j(B\alpha)| d\alpha \Big)^{1-\frac{1}{t}} \Big(\int_0^1 |K(-\alpha)|^t d\alpha \Big)^{\frac{1}{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(\alpha) = \sum_{\kappa N < n \le N} \eta(2f(n)) e(2f(n)\alpha) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \eta(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_\Gamma(u, \mathfrak{m}) \ge 0, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4, we get for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$S_i(A\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{P < p \le X \\ p \equiv i \pmod g}} (\log p) e(\alpha A p) \ll (X^{\frac{4}{5}} + Xq^{-\frac{1}{2}} + X^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\log X)^3 \ll X^{1-3\delta} (\log X)^3.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}} \big| S_i(A\alpha) S_j(B\alpha) \big| \ll [X^{1-3\delta} (\log X)^3]^2.$$

From the proof of [BKW, Lemma 1], we know that

$$\int_0^1 \big| S_i(A\alpha) S_j(B\alpha) \big| d\alpha \ll X \log X \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^1 |K(-\alpha)|^t d\alpha \ll N^{t-k(1-\delta)}.$$

By combining these bounds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\kappa N < n \le N} |r_\Gamma(2f(n), \mathfrak{m})| & \ll (X \log X)^{1-\frac{1}{t}} N^{1-\frac{(1-\delta)k}{t}} (X^{1-3\delta} (\log X)^3)^{\frac{2}{t}} \\ & \ll NX^{1-\frac{5\delta}{t}} (\log X)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. □

3 Major Arc

Let Y be a real number with $1 \le Y \le X^{\frac{\delta}{k}}$. In [BKW, Lemma 2], the authors proved that for all n satisfying $\kappa N < n \le N$, with the possible exception of $O(N^{1+\epsilon} Y^{-1})$ values of n

$$r(2f(n); \mathfrak{M}) \gg XY^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{-1},$$

where $r(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S(\alpha)^2 e(-\alpha \cdot 2f(n))d\alpha$ and $S(\alpha) = \sum_{P<p\le X}(\log p)e(\alpha p)$.

In this section, we show that the same result holds for $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M})$. To do this, we need some lemmas which concern the residue class condition; $i, j \pmod g$ and the coefficient condition; A, B.

First we state the basic properties of exceptional characters, which are established by Davenport [Dav].

Lemma 6. *There is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $L(\sigma,\chi) \neq 0$ whenever*

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{c_1}{\log P},$$

for all primitive Dirichlet characters χ of modulus $q \le P$, with the possible exception of at most one primitive character $\tilde{\chi} \pmod{\tilde{r}}$. If it exists, $\tilde{\chi}$ is quadratic, and the unique exceptional real zero $\tilde{\beta}$ of $L(s,\tilde{\chi})$ satisfies

$$\frac{c_2}{\tilde{r}^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2\tilde{r}} \le 1-\tilde{\beta} \le \frac{c_1}{\log P},$$

for a constant $c_2 > 0$.

The following lemma is a modification of [Gal, Theorem 7]. For the proof of the lemma, we follow the proof of [Gal, Theorem 7]. A new ingredient in our proof is the orthogonality relations of Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that $\frac{x}{P} \le h \le x$ and $\exp(\log^{\frac{1}{2}} x) \le P \le x^b$. If there is no exceptional character, we have*

$$\sum_{q\le P}\sum_{\chi}^{*}\sum_{p\equiv i \pmod g}^{x+h}\chi(p)\log p \ll h\exp(-c_3\frac{\log x}{\log P})$$

for a constant c_3, where $\sum^*$ denotes that the sum is taken over all primitive Dirichlet characters of modulus q and if there is the exceptional character, the right hand side may be replaced by $h(1-\tilde{\beta})\log P\exp(-c_3\frac{\log X}{\log P})$. Here the term with $q = 1$ is read as follows: if there is no exceptional character, it is

$$\sum_{p\equiv i \pmod g}^{x+h}\log p - \sum_{n\equiv i \pmod g}^{x<n\le x+h} 1$$

and if there is the exceptional character, it is

$$\sum_{p\equiv i \pmod g}^{x+h}\tilde{\chi}(p)\log p + \sum_{n\equiv i \pmod g}^{x<n\le x+h} n^{\tilde{\beta}-1}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\psi(x) := \sum_{n\le x} \Lambda(n),\ \psi(x,\chi) := \sum_{n\le x} \chi(n)\Lambda(n),\ \text{and}\ \psi(x,\chi;i,g) := \sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(n)\Lambda(n).$$

Using the orthogonality relations of Dirichlet characters, we have

$$\psi(x,\chi;i,g) = \frac{1}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{n\le x} (\sum_{\chi'} \bar{\chi'}(i)\chi'(n))\chi(n)\Lambda(n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{\chi'} \bar{\chi'}(i)\psi(x,\chi\cdot\chi'), \tag{2}$$

where χ' varies in the set of Dirichlet characters of modulus g and $\chi\cdot\chi'(n) := \chi(n)\chi'(n)$. For $q \le T \le x^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\psi(x,\chi) = \delta_\chi x - \sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} + O(\frac{x\log^2 x}{T}),$$

where $\delta_\chi = 1$ or 0 according to whether $\chi = \chi_0$ or not, and the sum on the right is over the zeros ρ of $L(s,\chi)$ in $0 \le \mathrm{Re}(\rho) \le 1$, $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \le T$. By (2)

$$\psi(x,\chi;i,g) = \frac{1}{\varphi(g)} \sum_{\chi'} \bar{\chi'}(i)(\delta_{\chi\cdot\chi'} x - \sum_\rho \frac{x^\rho}{\rho}) + O(\frac{x\log^2 x}{T}), \tag{3}$$

where the second sum is over the zeros ρ of $L(s,\chi\cdot\chi')$ in $0 \le \mathrm{Re}(\rho) \le 1$, $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \le T$. Since

$$\psi(x+h,\chi;i,g) - \psi(x,\chi;i,g) = \sum_{\substack{x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}}^{x+h} \chi(p)\log p + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

by (3)

$$\sum_{\substack{x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}}^{x+h} \chi(p)\log p = \frac{1}{\varphi(g)}(\sum_{\chi'} \bar{\chi'}(i)(\delta_{\chi\cdot\chi'} h - \sum_\rho \frac{(x+h)^\rho - x^\rho}{\rho})) + O(\frac{x\log^2 x}{T}).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{q\le P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\substack{x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}}^{x+h} \chi(p)\log p \ll \sum_{q\le P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\chi'} h(\sum_\rho x^{\beta-1} + \frac{P^2}{T}), \tag{4}$$

where the fourth sum of the right hand side is over the zeros $\rho = \beta + \gamma i$ of $L(s,\chi\cdot\chi')$ in $0 \le \mathrm{Re}(\rho) \le 1$, $|\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \le T$. Let $N_\chi(\alpha,T)$ be the number of zeros ρ of $L(s,\chi)$ in the rectangle

$$\{\rho \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \le \mathrm{Re}(\rho) \le 1, |\mathrm{Im}(\rho)| \le T\}.$$

Then the quadruple sum on the right hand side of (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} (\sum_{q\le P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(\alpha,T)) d\alpha \\ & = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} \log x \sum_{q\le P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(\alpha,T) d\alpha + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{q\le P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(0,T). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Consider the decomposition of a character χ of modulus $q = \prod_p p^{a_p}$

$$\chi = \prod_p \chi_{p^{a_p}},$$

where $\chi_{p^{a_p}}$ is a character of modulus p^{a_p}. Assume g is a prime and $g|q$. Then the conductor of $\chi \cdot \chi'$ is q except the case that $v_g(q) = 1$ and the p-parts of decompositions of χ and χ' are inverse each other, in this case the conductor of $\chi \cdot \chi'$ is $\frac{q}{g}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\chi}^{*} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T) = (\varphi(g)-1)(\sum_{\chi_1} N_{\chi_1}(a,T) + \sum_{\chi_2}^{*} N_{\chi_2}(a,T)),$$

where the first sum on the right hand side varies in the set of non-primitive characters of modulus q which are induced by a primitive character of modulus $\frac{q}{g}$ and the second one on the right hand side varies in the set of primitive characters of modulus q. Let χ be a Dirichlet character of modulus q induced by primitive character χ^* of modulus q^*. Then,

$$L(s,\chi) \prod_{\substack{p|q \\ p\nmid q^*}} \frac{1}{1-\chi^*(p)p^{-s}} = L(s,\chi^*).$$

Each factor $\frac{1}{1-\chi^*(p)p^{-s}}$ has a pole at

$$s = \frac{2\pi i}{\log p}(l + \frac{m_p}{\varphi(q^*)}),$$

where m_p be the smallest positive integer satisfying $\chi^*(p) = e(\frac{m_p}{\varphi(q^*)})$ and l be an integer such that $|\frac{2\pi}{\log p}(l + \frac{m_p}{\varphi(q^*)})| < T$. Therefore

$$N_{\chi}(a,T) = N_{\chi^*}(a,T) + c_{a,q,q^*,T},$$

where $c_{a,q,q^*,T} = 0$ if $a > 0$ and $c_{0,q,q^*,T} = \sum_{\substack{p|q \\ p\nmid q^*}} ([\frac{T\log p}{\pi}] + 1)$. Hence, for a prime g

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{q\le P \\ g|q}} \sum_{\chi}^{*} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T) &= (\varphi(g)-1)(\sum_{q\le \frac{P}{g}} \sum_{\chi}^{*} (N_{\chi}(a,T) + c_{a,q,\frac{q}{g},T}) + \sum_{q\le P} \sum_{\chi}^{*} N_{\chi}(a,T)) \\ &< 2\varphi(g) \sum_{q\le P} \sum_{\chi}^{*} N_{\chi}(a,T) + \varphi(g) \sum_{q\le \frac{P}{g}} \sum_{\chi}^{*} c_{a,q,\frac{q}{g},T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can prove its generalization to a composite g

$$\sum_{\substack{q\le P \\ (q,g)=m}} \sum_{\chi}^{*} \sum_{\chi'} N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T) < d(m)\varphi(g) \sum_{q\le gP} \sum_{\chi}^{*} N_{\chi}(a,T) + d(m)\varphi(g) \sum_{q\le gP} \sum_{\chi}^{*} c_{a,q,\frac{q}{m},T},$$

where $d(m)$ be the number of divisors of m. Therefore if $a>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\le P}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi'}N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T) &= \sum_{\substack{q\le P\\(q,g)=1}}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi'}N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T)+\sum_{\substack{q\le P\\(q,g)>1}}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi'}N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(a,T)\\ &\le \sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(a,T)+d(g)^2\varphi(g)\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(a,T)\\ &\ll \sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(a,T),\end{aligned}$$

and if $a=0$ since $c_{0,q,\frac{q}{m},T}\le\frac{T\log m}{\pi}+d(m)$,

$$\sum_{q\le P}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi'}N_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(0,T)\ll\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(0,T)+\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}(\frac{T\log g}{\pi}+d(g)).$$

Thus (5) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\ll \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}\log x\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(\alpha,T)d\alpha+\frac{1}{x}(\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(0,T)+\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}T)\\ &\le \int_0^{1-\theta(T)} x^{\alpha-1}\log x\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(\alpha,T)d\alpha+\frac{1}{x}\sum_{q\le gP}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(0,T)+\frac{gP^2T}{x}\\ &\ll x^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta(T)}+\frac{P^2T}{x},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where

$$\theta(T)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\log T} & \text{if there is no exceptional character,}\\ \dfrac{c_2}{\log T}\log\dfrac{e\cdot c_1}{(1-\tilde{\beta})\log T} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

For (6), we used [Gal, Theorem 6];

$$\sum_{q\le T}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}N_{\chi}(\alpha,T)\ll T^{c(1-\alpha)}$$

and assumed $T^c\le x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $T>gP$. If we choose $T=P^5$ and $b=\frac{1}{10c}$, then $\frac{P^2T}{x}\ll x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and the lemma follows. □

From Lemma 7 and the argument below [MV, Lemma 4.3], we have the following modification of [MV, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 8. *If the exceptional character does not occur, there are positive absolute constants c_4,c_5 which satisfy*

$$\sum_{q\le P}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi}\max_{x\le N}\max_{h\le N}(h+\frac{N}{P})^{-1}\Big|\sum_{\substack{x-h<p\le x\\ p\equiv i \pmod g}}\chi(p)\log p\Big|\ll\exp(-c_4\frac{\log N}{\log P})$$

for $\exp(\log^{\frac{1}{2}} N) \le P \le N^{c_5}$ *and if the exceptional character occurs, the right hand side may be replaced by* $(1-\tilde{\beta})\log P \exp(-c_4 \frac{\log N}{\log P})$. *Here the term with* $q = 1$ *is read as follows: if there is no exceptional character, it is*

$$\sum_{\substack{p \equiv i \pmod g \\ x-h}}^{x} \log p - \sum_{\substack{x-h<n\le x \\ n>0 \\ n\equiv i \pmod g}} 1$$

and if there is the exceptional character, it is

$$\sum_{\substack{p \equiv i \pmod g \\ x-h}}^{x} \tilde{\chi}(p)\log p + \sum_{\substack{x-h<n\le x \\ n>0 \\ n\equiv i \pmod g}} n^{\tilde{\beta}-1}.$$

For a Dirichlet character χ modulo q, define

$$S_i(\chi,\eta) := \sum_{\substack{P<p\le X \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} (\log p)\chi(p)e(p\eta),$$

and

$$T_i(\eta) := \sum_{\substack{P<n\le X \\ n\equiv i \pmod g}} e(n\eta), \qquad \widetilde{T}_i(\eta) := -\sum_{\substack{P<n\le X \\ n\equiv i \pmod g}} n^{\tilde{\beta}-1}e(n\eta),$$

where the last one is defined only if there is an exceptional character.

Let χ_0 be the principal character modulo q. Define

$$W_i(\chi,\eta) := \begin{cases} S_i(\chi,\eta) - T_i(\eta) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ S_i(\chi,\eta) - \widetilde{T}_i(\eta) & \text{if } \chi = \tilde{\chi}\chi_0, \\ S_i(\chi,\eta) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose that a Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod q$ is induced by a primitive character $\chi^* \pmod r$. Put

$$W_i^A(\chi) = \Big(\int_{-\frac{1}{rQ}}^{\frac{1}{rQ}} \big|W_i(\chi, A\eta)\big|^2 d\eta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad W_i^A = \sum_{q\le P}\sum_{\chi}{}^{*} W_i^A(\chi).$$

We note that $W_i^A(\chi) = W_i^A(\chi^*)$. Then we have the following lemma which is a modification of [MV, (7.1)].

Lemma 9. *If the exceptional character does not occur, there is an absolute constant* c_6 *which satisfies*

$$W_i^A \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-c_6 \frac{\log X}{\log P}),$$

and if the exceptional character occurs, the right hand side may be replaced by $X^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\tilde{\beta})\log P \exp(-c_6 \frac{\log X}{\log P})$.

Proof. First assume that χ be a primitive character which is not equal to χ_0 nor $\tilde{\chi}\chi_0$. Then

$$W_i^A(\chi)^2 = \int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} \Big| \sum_{\substack{P<p\le X \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p \cdot e(Ap\eta)\Big|^2 d\eta.$$

Applying [MV, Lemma 4.2] to the real numbers

$$u_n := \begin{cases} \chi(p)\log p & \text{if } n = Ap,\ P < p \le X,\ p \equiv i \pmod g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we get

$$W_i^A(\chi)^2 \ll \int_0^{2AX} \Big|\frac{1}{qQ} \sum_{\substack{P<p\le X \\ x-\frac{qQ}{2}\le Ap\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p\Big|^2 dx.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} W_i^A(\chi) &\ll (2AX)^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{x\le 2AX} \frac{1}{qQ}\Big| \sum_{\substack{x-\frac{qQ}{2}\le Ap\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p\Big| \\ &\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{x\le 2AX} (Q+\frac{qQ}{2})^{-1}\Big| \sum_{\substack{x-\frac{qQ}{2}\le Ap\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p\Big| \\ &\le X^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{x\le 2AX}\max_{h\le X} (Q+h)^{-1}\Big| \sum_{\substack{x-h\le Ap\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p\Big| \qquad (\because \frac{qQ}{2} \le X) \\ &\le X^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{x\le 2X}\max_{h\le 2X} (2Q+h)^{-1}\Big| \sum_{\substack{x-h\le p\le x \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}} \chi(p)\log p\Big|. \end{aligned}$$

When $\chi = \chi_0$ or $\tilde{\chi}\chi_0$, $W_i(\chi,\eta)$ is exactly the term of the case of $q = 1$ in Lemma 8. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} W_i^A &= \sum_{q\le P}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} W_i^A(\chi) \\ &\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{q\le P}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi} \max_{x\le 2X}\max_{h\le 2X} (h+\frac{2X}{P})^{-1}\Big| \sum_{\substack{x-h \\ p\equiv i \pmod g}}^{x} \chi(p)\log p\Big|. \end{aligned}$$

So the lemma is proved by Lemma 8. □

Now we can prove the following analogue of [BKW, Lemma 2]. For the proof, we follow the proof of [BKW, Lemma 2]. New ingredients in our proof are the estimations of the bounds of new terms which do not appear in the proof of [BKW, Lemma 2], using Lemma 9 if needed.

Proposition 10. *Suppose that Y is a real number with $1 \le Y \le X^{\frac{\delta}{k}}$. If there is at least one integer m such that*

$$2f(m) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g},$$

then

$$r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \gg XY^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}$$

for all $n \in (\kappa N, N]$ with $2f(n) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}$ except at most $O(N^{1+\epsilon}Y^{-1})$ numbers.

Proof. Let $n \in (\kappa N, N]$ be an integer with $2f(n) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}$. The proof is divided into three steps. In the first step, we will prove that if there is no exceptional character, then $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \gg X$. Next we will show that even if there is the exceptional character, the same lower bound holds when $(2f(n), \tilde{r}) = 1$. Finally we will show that the number of integers n for which

$$(2f(n), \tilde{r}) > 1 \text{ and } r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \ll XY^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}$$

is at most $O(N^{1+\epsilon}Y^{-1})$.

First we assume that there is no exceptional character. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a)$ we write $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \eta$ for $(a,q) = 1$, $0 \le \eta < 1$ and $q < P$. Let χ be a Dirichlet character of modulus q and $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{q} \chi(n) e(\frac{n}{q})$ the Gaussian sum. Since $e(\frac{\alpha}{q}) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(a)\tau(\chi)$, we have

$$S_i(A\alpha) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)} T_i(A\eta) + \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \chi(A\alpha)\tau(\bar{\chi}) W_i(\chi, A\eta).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \\
= & \sum_{q \le P} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\varphi(q)^2} c_q(-2f(n)) \int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} T_i(A\eta) T_j(B\eta) e(-2f(n)\eta) d\eta && (7) \\
+ & \sum_{q \le P} \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)^2} \sum_{\chi'} \chi'(B) c_{\chi'}(-2f(n)) \tau(\bar{\chi'}) \int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} T_i(A\eta) W_j(\chi', B\eta) e(-2f(n)\eta) d\eta && (8) \\
+ & \sum_{q \le P} \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)^2} \sum_{\chi} \chi(A) c_{\chi}(-2f(n)) \tau(\bar{\chi}) \int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} T_j(B\eta) W_i(\chi, A\eta) e(-2f(n)\eta) d\eta && (9) \\
+ & \sum_{q \le P} \Big[\frac{1}{\varphi(q)^2} \sum_{\chi, \chi'} \chi(A)\chi'(B) c_{\chi\cdot\chi'}(-2f(n)) \tau(\bar{\chi})\tau(\bar{\chi}') \\
& \qquad \times \int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} W_i(\chi, A\eta) W_j(\chi', B\eta) e(-2f(n)\eta) d\eta \Big], && (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_q(m) = \sum_{(a,q)=1}^{q} e(\frac{am}{q})$ and $c_\chi(m) = \sum_{h=1}^{q} \chi(h)e(\frac{hm}{q})$. Using [MV, Lemma 5.5] and the same argument in [MV, Section 6], we have

$$(8) \ll \frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))} W_i^A X^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (9) \ll \frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))} W_j^B X^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad (10) \ll \frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))} W_i^A W_j^B.$$

Let us compute the bound of (7). Since $T_i(A\eta) \ll \frac{1}{\|gA\eta\|}$, by assuming the harmless condition $qQ > 2gA$ and $A \geq B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{2gA}} T_i(A\eta)T_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta \ll \int_{\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{2gA}} \frac{1}{\|gA\eta\|}\frac{1}{\|gB\eta\|}d\eta \leq \int_{\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{2gA}} \frac{1}{g^2AB\eta^2}d\eta \\ \ll \quad & qQ. \end{aligned}$$

By some elementary computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{2gA}}^{\frac{1}{2g}} T_i(A\eta)T_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta - \sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j \\ Ak+Bl=2f(n)}}\sum (\frac{1}{2g} - \frac{1}{2gA}) \\ = \quad & \sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j}}\sum \frac{1}{(Ak+Bl-2f(n))2\pi i}[e(\frac{Ak+Bl-2f(n)}{2g}) - e(\frac{Ak+Bl-2f(n)}{2gA})] \\ = \quad & \sum_{1\leq t\leq gA} (\sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ Ak+Bl-2f(n)\equiv t \pmod{2gA} \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j \pmod{g}}}\sum \frac{1}{Ak+Bl-2f(n)} - \sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ Ak+Bl-2f(n)\equiv gA+t \pmod{2gA} \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j \pmod{g}}}\sum \frac{1}{Ak+Bl-2f(n)}) \\ & \qquad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i}(e(\frac{t}{2g}) - e(\frac{t}{2gA})) \\ = \quad & O(\log X), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\frac{1}{2g}}^{\frac{1}{2g}} T_i(A\eta)T_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta = \sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j}}\sum \int_0^{\frac{1}{g}} e((Ak+Bl-2f(n))\eta)d\eta \\ = \quad & \sum_{\substack{P<k,l\leq X \\ k\equiv i, l\equiv j \\ Ak+Bl=2f(n)}}\sum \frac{1}{g} = \frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with these three estimations, we have

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}} T_i(A\eta)T_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta = \frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} + O(qQ).$$

Thus

$$(7) = \sum_{q\leq P} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\varphi(q)^2} c_q(-2f(n))(\frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} + O(qQ)).$$

By [MV, 6.13 and 6.14], we conclude that

$$r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) = \mathfrak{S}(2f(n))\frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} + O(X^{1+\delta}P^{-1}) + O(\frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))}(W_i^A X^{\frac{1}{2}} + W_j^B X^{\frac{1}{2}} + W_i^A W_j^B)),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu(q)^2}{\varphi(q)^2}c_q(-n) = \prod_{p\nmid n}(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^2})\prod_{p|n}(1+\frac{1}{p-1}).$$

In this equation, the first error term $X^{1+\delta}P^{-1}$ is negligible. Also from Lemma 9, the second error term is less then $\frac{6f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))}Xe^{-\frac{c_6}{6\delta}}$. If we choose a sufficiently small positive real number δ, then $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \geq (1-c_7)\mathfrak{S}(2f(n))f(n)$. These imply that $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \gg X$, which is the conclusion of the first step.

Next, assume that there is the exceptional character. In this case, we have

$$S_i(A\alpha) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)}T_i(A\eta) + \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\chi}[\chi(A\alpha)\tau(\bar{\chi})W_i(\chi,A\eta)] + \frac{\tilde{\chi}(A\alpha)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)}\widetilde{T}_i(A\eta).$$

Note that the last term appears when q divides $\tilde{r}$, the modulus of the exceptional character. This makes additional terms in $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M})$ which are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)^2}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(AB)c_q(-2f(n))\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}}\widetilde{T}_i(A\eta)\widetilde{T}_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta\\
+&\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{\mu(q)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(B)c_{\tilde{\chi}\chi_0}(-2f(n))\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}}T_i(A\eta)\widetilde{T}_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta\\
+&\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{\mu(q)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(A)c_{\tilde{\chi}\chi_0}(-2f(n))\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}}T_j(B\eta)\widetilde{T}_i(A\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta\\
+&\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{\tilde{\chi}(B)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\sum_{\chi}c_{\tilde{\chi}\cdot\chi}(-2f(n))\tau(\bar{\chi})\chi(A)\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}}W_i(\chi,A\eta)\widetilde{T}_j(B\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta\\
+&\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{\tilde{\chi}(A)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\sum_{\chi}c_{\tilde{\chi}\cdot\chi}(-2f(n))\tau(\bar{\chi})\chi(B)\int_{-\frac{1}{qQ}}^{\frac{1}{qQ}}W_j(\chi,B\eta)\widetilde{T}_i(A\eta)e(-2f(n)\eta)d\eta. \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument in the previous step, the last two terms of (11) are bounded by

$$2X^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\substack{q\leq P\\ \tilde{r}|q}}\frac{1}{\varphi(q)^2}\sum_{\chi}|c_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}(-2f(n))\tau(\bar{\chi})\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)|W_k^C(\chi) \ll \frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))}X^{\frac{1}{2}}W_k^C,$$

where $C = A$ or B and $k = i$ or j. And the first three terms in (11) turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{q\le P\\ \tilde{r}|q}} \frac{\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)^2}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(ABa^2)c_q(-2f(n))(\widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(2f(n))+O(qQ))\\
+ &\sum_{\substack{q\le P\\ \tilde{r}|q}} \frac{\mu(q)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(B)c_{\tilde{\chi}\chi_0}(-2f(n))(\widetilde{J}_{ji}^{BA}(2f(n))+O(qQ))\\
+ &\sum_{\substack{q\le P\\ \tilde{r}|q}} \frac{\mu(q)\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)}{\varphi(q)^2}\tilde{\chi}(A)c_{\tilde{\chi}\chi_0}(-2f(n))(\widetilde{J}_{ij}^{AB}(2f(n))+O(qQ)),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(n) := \int_0^{\frac{1}{g}} \widetilde{T}_i(A\eta)\widetilde{T}_j(B\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \text{ and } \widetilde{J}_{ij}^{AB}(n) := \int_0^{\frac{1}{g}} \widetilde{T}_i(A\eta)T_j(B\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(n) := \sum_{\substack{q=1\\ \tilde{r}|q}}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(\tilde{\chi}\chi_0)^2}{\varphi(q)^2}c_q(-n)$. Then we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) &= \mathfrak{S}(2f(n))\frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} + \tilde{\chi}(ABa^2)\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(2f(n))\widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(2f(n))\\
&+ O(\frac{\tilde{\chi}(2f(n))^2\tilde{r}\cdot 2f(n)X}{\varphi(\tilde{r})^2\varphi(2f(n))}) + O(X^{1+\delta}P^{-1}(2f(n),\tilde{r}))\\
&+ O(\frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))}(X^{\frac{1}{2}}(W_i^A+W_j^B)+W_i^AW_j^B)). \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

by just following [MV, p.364]. Our assumption $(2f(n),\tilde{r}) = 1$ means that the fourth term of (12) is less then $X^{1-5\delta}$. Using the same method in [MV, section 8], we have

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(n) \ll o(1) \text{ and } \widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(n) = \sum_{\substack{P<k<n-P\\ k\equiv i,\frac{n-Ak}{B}\equiv j\\ \frac{n-Ak}{B}\in\mathbb{Z}}} (k(\frac{n-Ak}{B}))^{\tilde{\beta}-1} \le \sum_{\substack{P<k<n-P\\ \frac{n-Ak}{B}\in\mathbb{Z}}} (k(\frac{n-Ak}{B}))^{\tilde{\beta}-1} \le n^{\tilde{\beta}}.$$

These facts and Lemma 9 imply that

$$r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \gg X \qquad \text{if } (2f(n),\tilde{r}) = 1,$$

which is the conclusion of the second step.

Finally we assume $(2f(n),\tilde{r}) > 1$, so we have $\tilde{\chi}(2f(n)) = 0$. Then by Lemma 9 and (12) there is a constant c_7 satisfying

$$|r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) - \mathfrak{S}(2f(n))\frac{2f(n)}{g^2AB} - \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}(2f(n))\widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(-2f(n))| \le c_7(T_1+T_2), \qquad (13)$$

where

$$T_1 = X^{1+\delta}P^{-1}(2f(n),\tilde{r}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_2 = \frac{2f(n)}{\varphi(2f(n))}(1-\tilde{\beta})Xe^{\frac{-c_6}{\delta}}\log P.$$

All the arguments in [BKW, p.122–123] can be used for $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M})$ since we know that $\widetilde{I}_{ij}^{AB}(2f(n)) \le n^{\tilde{\beta}}$. As a consequence, we conclude that if $1 < (2f(n),\tilde{r}) < Y$, then

$$r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M}) \gg XY^{-1}(\log X)^{-1}$$

and there are at most $O(N^{1+\epsilon}Y^{-1})$–exceptions which are n with $(2f(n),\tilde{r}) > Y$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2

We note that if there is at least one integer m such that $2f(m) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}$, the set of $n \in (\kappa N, N]$ with $2f(n) \equiv Ai + Bj \pmod{g}$ has a positive density in the set of $n \in (\kappa N, N]$. Then the proof of Theorem 2 is exactly same as the proof of [BKW, Theorem 1] since Proposition 5 and Proposition 10 give the same results for $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{m})$ and $r_\Gamma(2f(n);\mathfrak{M})$ as [BKW, Lemma 1] and [BKW, Lemma 2].

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Professor Trevor Wooley for useful discussions and comments.

References

[BJ] D. Byeon and K. Jeong, *Sums of two rational cubes with many prime factors*, preprint.

[BJK] D. Byeon, D. Jeon and C. Kim, *Rank one quadratic twists of an infinite family of elliptic curves*, J. Reine und Angew. Math., 633 (2009), 67–76.

[BKW] J. Brüdern, K. Kawada and T. D. Wooley, *Additive representation in thin sequences, II: The binary Goldbach problem*, Mathematika **47**, (2000), 117–125.

[Dav] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, Springer-Verlag, Second Edition, (1980).

[Gal] P. X. Gallagher, *A large sieve density estimate near* $\sigma = 1$, Invent. Math. **11**, (1970), 329–339.

[IK] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society, (2004).

[MV] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *The exceptional set in Goldbach's problem*, Acta Arith. **27.1** (1975), 353–370.

Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

E-mail: dhbyeon@snu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

E-mail: kyjeongg@gmail.com